UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: CDMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231 SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE

08/029,060 03/10/93 YAMAGUCHI Y	501.23549CC4 EXAMINER
PUPEK,J 25M1/1004 ANTONELLI, TERRY & WANDS 1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 2511 DATE MAILED:	3
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	10/04/93
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s),	from the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	•
	Patent Drawing Review, PTO-848. Int Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. 🛛 Claims 14 to 17	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	re withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3. Ctaims	
4. ☑ Claims 14 to 17	
	are objected to.
6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).	
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved; adisapproved	ed (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filled in parent application, serial no. 874, 106 ; filled on 06/13/1986.	
 Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 	
14. Other	

EXAMINER'S ACTION



Serial No. 029,060 Art Unit 2511

This Action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed on March 10, 1993.

Claims 14 to 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is incomplete in that there is no recitation in the claim on how the four external terminals are coupled with the control means to form a circuit in a RAM. Further, lines 16 to 21 are functional in that no means to detect when all the signals are at the required states has been recited in the claim. Claim 14 appears to be nothing more than a functional recitation of signal states with no structure recited to perform or respond to the required states. Claim 15 is confusing since lines 10 and 11 of claim 14 recite that the fourth terminal is used to receive a signal for designating an operational mode. Does the fourth terminal respond to both address and operational mode signals?

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph Popek at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

Popek/tj September 30, 1993

JOSEPH A. POPEK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2500